

## SOLVENT CLEANING SECTOR—UNACCEPTABLE SUBSTITUTES

End use	Substitute	Decision	Comments
Metals cleaning w/CFC-113	Dibromomethane .....	Unacceptable .....	High ODP; other alternatives exist.
Metals cleaning w/MCF .....	Dibromomethane .....	Unacceptable .....	High ODP; other alternatives exist.
Electronics cleaning w/CFC-113.	Dibromomethane .....	Unacceptable .....	High ODP; other alternatives exist.
Electronics cleaning w/MCF	Dibromomethane .....	Unacceptable .....	High ODP; other alternatives exist.
Precision cleaning w/CFC-113.	Dibromomethane .....	Unacceptable .....	High ODP; other alternatives exist.
Precision cleaning w/MCF .....	Dibromomethane .....	Unacceptable .....	High ODP; other alternatives exist.

FIRE SUPPRESSION AND EXPLOSION PROTECTION—ACCEPTABLE SUBJECT TO USE CONDITIONS:  
TOTAL FLOODING AGENTS

Application	Substitute	Decision	Conditions	Comments
Halon 1301 Total Flooding Agents.	C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>8</sub> .....	Acceptable where other alternatives are not technically feasible due to performance or safety requirements.. a. due to their physical or chemical properties, or. b. where human exposure to the extinguishing agents may approach cardiosensitization levels or result in other unacceptable health effects under normal operating conditions.	Until OSHA establishes applicable workplace requirements.. For occupied areas from which personnel cannot be evacuated in one minute, use is permitted only up to concentrations not exceeding the cardiotoxicity NOAEL of 30%. Although no LOAEL has been established for this product, standard OSHA requirements apply, i.e. for occupied areas from which personnel can be evacuated or egress can occur between 30 and 60 seconds, use is permitted up to a concentration not exceeding the LOAEL. All personnel must be evacuated before concentration of C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>8</sub> exceeds 30%. Design concentration must result in oxygen levels of at least 16%.	The comparative design concentration based on cup burner values is approximately 8.8%. Users must observe the limitations on PFC acceptability by making reasonable efforts to undertake the following measures: (i) conduct an evaluation of foreseeable conditions of end use; (ii) determine that human exposure to the other alternative extinguishing agents may approach or result in cardiosensitization or other unacceptable toxicity effects under normal operating conditions; and (iii) determine that the physical or chemical properties or other technical constraints of the other available agents preclude their use; Documentation of such measures must be available for review upon request. The principal environmental characteristic of concern for PFCs is that they have high GWPs and long atmospheric lifetimes. Actual contributions to global warming depend upon the quantities of PFCs emitted. For additional guidance regarding applications in which PFCs may be appropriate, users should consult the description of potential uses which is included in the March 18, 1994 Rule-making (59 FR 13043). See additional comments 1, 2, 3, 4.
	CF <sub>3</sub> I .....	Acceptable in normally unoccupied areas.	EPA requires that any employee who could possibly be in the area must be able to escape within 30 seconds. The employer shall assure that no unprotected employees enter the area during agent discharge.	Manufacturer has not applied for listing for use in normally occupied areas. Preliminary cardiosensitization data indicates that this agent would not be suitable for use in normally occupied areas. EPA is awaiting results of ODP calculations. See additional comments 1, 2, 3, 4.
	Gelled Halocarbon/ Dry Chemical Suspension.	Acceptable in normally unoccupied areas.	EPA requires that any employee who could possibly be in the area must be able to escape within 30 seconds. The employer shall assure that no unprotected employees enter the area during agent discharge.	The manufacturer's SNAP application requested listing for use in unoccupied areas only. See additional comment 2.